	Application No.	Applicant(s)
A	09/518,328	BURNS, JOHN J
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dwin M Craig	2123
The MAILING DATE of this communication app. All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap) or other appropriate communication (IGHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not included not will be mailed in due course. THIS
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-11,13-15</u> , <u>21-23 and 25</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 4/30/2004 are accepted by the Example 1.	miner.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR reach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as Such in the certain sheet. Replacement sheet sheet. Replacement sheet sheet.	e been received. e been received in Application No comments have been received in this for this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. Initted. Note the attached EXAMINER res reason(s) why the oath or declara st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 's Amendment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment of the drawithe header according to 37 CFR 1.121(soit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	national stage application from the complying with the requirements AS AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient. -948) attached Office action of the back) of (d). must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 7. ☑ Examiner's Amend 8. ☑ Examiner's Statem 9. ☐ Other	te ment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	9.	Turne Granum

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

Notice of Allowability

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050606

Application/Control Number: 09/518,328

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

And

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

- 1. Claims 1-11, 13-15, 21-23 and 25 are Allowed.
- 2. Claims 12, 16-20 and 24 are cancelled.
- 3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR.

 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee. See Rule 1.126
 - 3.1 The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 14, line 1, please change "claim 13" to -- claim 12 ---

Claim 15, line 1, please change "claim 14" to -- claim 13 ---

Claim 22, line 1, please change "claim 21" to -- claim 15 ---

Claim 23, line 1, please change "claim 22" to -- claim 16 --.

Authorization for the following examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with John Rooney, Registration Number 28,898 on 6-7-2005.

- 3.2 Claims 16-20 are cancelled.
- 3.3 The specification is amended as follows:

Page 4, line 15, please change "field as" to -- field 208 as --.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,328

Art Unit: 2123

Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

Page 3

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The following 4. limitations in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. As regards independent Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 21 and 25 "a plurality of emulation objects", in combination with, "each of said plurality of target processors having a plurality of specialized communications instructions." The Examiner notes that emulation of a target processor, having a different software architecture, from the software architecture where the emulation is taking place is well known in the art, however, the combination of having that emulated processor having special communications instructions, is neither disclosed or made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner notes that in Applicant's specification on page 4 is disclosed, "In accordance with the preferred mode of the present invention, a Pentium microprocessor chip, available from Intel Corporation, is used as the host for emulating the Distributed Communications Processor (DCP), available from Unisys Corporation. The invention uses a fast index to the target instruction code used by the processor object. An array of procedures is defined in the application in the header file. Each array is defined as a pointer. The pointer is initially void. The real values are filled in by the constructor of the processor object during initialization of the processor. Using this array, the processor flow is directed to the single instruction using op code and an additional four bit field as a direct index to the routine.

When a target instruction is processed by the processor object, it is immediately dispatched using the op code index into an array of target instruction pointers. This is an efficient alternative to running a string of comparisons between op codes and target

Art Unit: 2123

instructions." The Examiner notes that when the Applicant is claiming, special communications instructions, that this phrase can only be reasonably interpreted to mean an op-code instruction that is used on a communications processor, like a Unisys Distributed Communications

Processor (DCP), as disclosed and defined on page 4 of Applicant's specification. The emulation of a processor that uses these "special instructions", as defined in Applicant's specification, are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art.

- 4.2 Dependent Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 22 and 23 are allowed as they depend from allowed base claims.
- 4.3 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Examiner has included in the Office Action numerous prior art references that disclose the state of the art in regards to processor emulation.

5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is (571) 272-3710. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 - 6:00 M-F.

Art Unit: 2123

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC

W. Jumson Auzirs To 2100 Privery Gramen